## COUNCIL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Panel Reference	PPSWES-135 – Orange – DA98/2022
DA Number	DA98/2022(1)
LGA	Orange City
Proposed Development	Development comprises two stages:  Stage 1: Multi dwelling housing (17 townhouses) and Torrens title subdivision (3 lots)  Stage 2: Residential flat building (63 apartments) and recreation area (public park)
Street Address	103 Prince Street, Orange NSW 2800
Applicant/Owner	MAAS Group Properties 103 Prince Pty Limited (Applicant)
	Orange City Council (Owner)
Date of DA lodgement	24 March 2022
Total number of Submissions Number of Unique Objections	<ul> <li>9 written submissions were received in total across 2 exhibition periods (relating to 1. original DA and 2. amended DA). These comprise 6 unique submissions in exhibition period 1 (original DA) and 2 unique submissions in exhibition period 2 (amended DA)</li> <li>All submissions either objected to the proposal or were generally supportive of the overall development concept but raised concerns about the proposal's design and/or environmental impacts (Refer to uploaded copy of submissions).</li> </ul>
Recommendation	Refusal
Regional Development Criteria (Schedule 7 of the SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011	Section 2.19(1) and Clause 3 of Schedule 6 of State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 2021 declares the proposal regionally significant development as: Council related development over \$5 million
List of all relevant s4.15(1)(a) matters	Relevant environmental planning instruments
List all documents submitted	<ul> <li>SEPP (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021</li> <li>State Environmental Planning Policy (Building)</li> <li>Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004</li> <li>SEPP (Industry and Employment) 2021</li> <li>State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 2021</li> <li>SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021</li> <li>SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure)</li> <li>State Environmental Planning Policy No 65—Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development</li> <li>Orange Local Environmental Plan 2011</li> <li>Relevant development control plan</li> <li>Orange Development Control Plan 2004</li> <li>Relevant planning agreement that has been entered into under section 7.4, or any draft planning agreement that a developer has offered to enter into under section 7.4</li> <li>Draft Planning Agreement</li> <li>Relevant regulations e.g. Regs 92, 93, 94, 94A, 288</li> <li>EP&amp;A Regulation 2021 (as applicable)</li> <li>Planning Assessment Report (Version 2.0. 24 October 2022)</li> </ul>
with this report for the Panel's	<ul> <li>Planning Assessment Report (Version 2.0, 24 October 2022)</li> <li>Annexure A – DCP compliance Table</li> </ul>
consideration	<ul> <li>Annexure B – Architectural Plans</li> <li>Annexure C – Landscape Masterplan</li> <li>Annexure D – Clause 4.6 Request to Vary a Development Standard</li> <li>Annexure E – SEPP 65 – Design Verification Statement</li> <li>Annexure F – Public Submissions (redacted) (both exhibition period)</li> <li>Annexure G – Heritage Advice</li> </ul>
Clause 4.6 requests	Application includes Clause 4.6 request seeking an exception to Orange LEP 2011  Clause 4.3 Height of Building (building beight standard)
Summary of key submissions	<ul> <li>Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings (building height standard)</li> <li>Choice of trees for the proposed public park</li> <li>South-facing balconies will not benefit from daylight access</li> <li>Impacts on on-street car parking (loss of spaces in Sale Street combined with likely additional demand for on-street spaces in Sale Street and Prince Street)</li> <li>Inappropriateness of locating basement car park access in Sale Street</li> <li>Traffic impacts</li> <li>Affordability of proposed housing</li> <li>Need to provide for electric vehicle (EV) charging</li> <li>Effects on overshadowing, loss of views and loss of privacy for adjoining development (No. 105 Prince Street)</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>Inappropriate bulk and scale (including inappropriateness of building height, lack of relief in building form and inadequacy of setbacks to Prince Street and eastern site boundary)</li> <li>Streetscape impacts</li> <li>Inappropriateness of materials and finishes</li> <li>Removal of trees fronting Sale Street</li> <li>Non-compliance of apartment mix with DCP control.</li> <li>Absence of commercial uses (eg, kiosks or neighbourhood shops).</li> </ul>	
Report prepared by	Andrew Crump Town Planning	
Report date	24 October 2022	
Summary of s4.15 matters Have all recommendations in assessment report?  Legislative clauses requiring of		Yes
Have relevant clauses in all ap satisfied about a particular ma of the assessment report?	plicable environmental planning instruments where the consent authority must be	Yes
Clause 4.6 Exceptions to deve If a written request for a contribeen attached to the assessm	avention to a development standard (clause 4.6 of the LEP) has been received, has it	Yes
•	rastructure Contributions conditions (S7.24)? rn Sydney Growth Areas Special Contributions Area may require specific Special	No
	ovided to the applicant for comment?  in determinations, the Panel prefer that draft conditions, notwithstanding Council's	No

recommendation, be provided to the applicant to enable any comments to be considered as part of the assessment

report